



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,228	02/26/2004	Wallis Wiremu Toataua Farraday	357089/0150	7967

7590 12/05/2006

Steven B. Pokotilow, Esq.
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038

EXAMINER

PETRIK, KARI KRISTEN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3772

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/789,228	Applicant(s) FARRADAY, WALLIS WIREMU TOATAUA	
	Examiner Kari Petrik	Art Unit 3772	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/26/2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 12-15 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 and 16-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 16, it is unclear whether wrapping the limb with a “wrapping means” and the “cast forming gauze” are meant to be the same element since the specification only discloses the gauze being wrapped over the protective covering and no other additional structures.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 3-6, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kania (US Patent 5,830,237).
3. Regarding claim 1, Kania discloses a removable post operative limb dressing comprising a thermoplastic gel liner (cushion liner, Figure 7A), a protective covering over the liner (fabric liner, column 6, lines 20-25), a removable cast (hard socket), and a securing means (knee sleeve) for securing the removable cast to the limb (see Figure 11).

4. Regarding claims 3-5, the gel liner and fabric covering are closed at one end and open at another (see Figure 11).
5. Regarding claim 6, the removable cast (hard socket, Figure 11) is cut along its top periphery.
6. Regarding claim 9, the knee sleeve in Figure 11 can be considered to be a strap.
7. Regarding claim 10, the socket has an opening at the top periphery for receiving the limb (see Figure 11) proximate the knee joint.
8. Regarding claim 11, the dressing is suitable for a below the knee amputated leg.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 2, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kania in view of Schon et al (US Patent 6,368,357).
11. Regarding claim 2, Kania substantially discloses the claimed invention as applied to claim 1, but does not disclose a distal pad or a cast that is cut into more than one portion and has a hinge. Schon et al teach a removable post operative limb dressing comprising a distal pad (210) and a cast (22) that is cut into more than one portion (24 and 26) and comprises a hinge keeping the removable cast in one piece (column 5, lines 26-33). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the

Art Unit: 3772

dressings disclosed by Kania having a distal pad and hinged cast, as taught by Schon et al, to provide extra padding to the end of the operative site and facilitate easy removal of the cast.

12. Regarding claims 7 and 8, Kania substantially discloses the claimed invention as applied to claim 1, but does not disclose the removable cast is cut into more than one portion. Schon et al teach a removable cast cut into more than one portion (24 and 26) having a hinge (column 5, lines 20-25) so that the shell is designed to open and close about the residual limb. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the dressing disclosed by Kania having a hinged cast cut into more than one portions, as taught by Schon et al, to provide easy removal of the cast.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 12-15 are allowed.

14. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach or suggest the combination of a method for dressing a post-operative limb comprising applying a thermo plastic gel liner, placing a distal pad over the liner, covering the limb with a protective covering, placing a spacer around the perimeter covering with a protective covering with a wrapping means, wrapping the limb with a cast forming gauze, shaping the residual muscle tissue before the gauze solidifies, cutting the cast proximate the spacer around the periphery to permit removal, and securing the cast on the post-operative limb with one or more securing means.

Art Unit: 3772

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kari Petrik whose telephone number is 571-272-8057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and every other Friday, 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on 571-272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kari Petrik
Examiner
Art Unit 3772

KKP


11/27/06